

6. APPENDIX 6: RECORD OF CONSULTATION PROCESS

a) INVITATION AND REMINDER NOTIFICATIONS FOR PUBLIC MEETING 7TH MARCH 2024

Greg Coates	
From:	Greg Coates
Sent:	Thursday, 22 February 2024 15:48
To:	Greg Coates
Subject:	Bundu Mining Application GP10124MR - Project Update - Invitation to the Public Meeting 7th March 2024
Dear Interested and Affected Party, Following the release of the draft Scoping Report (for the Bundu Mining Application GP10124MR) for public review and comment, you are invited to attend a public meeting to discuss the content of the report. Date: 7th March 2024 Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift, 5 Roux Rd, Kameeldrift West. Time: 5-7pm The intention of the meeting is to discuss: • The proposed activities and alternatives • The baseline environment and receptors • The identification of potential impacts This will be an opportunity for information sharing and gaining input from the public on the above items to ensure that an informed Impact Assessment can be undertaken in the next phase of the project. If attending, please ensure you are familiar with the content of the report before the meeting as only a summary of the report will be presented due to time constraints. The report is available electronically at https://umhlaba.co.za/public-participation/. A hard copy is also available at JuMaKi Venue & Events, 24 Kornoelie Road, Kameeldrift West. For any queries please contact the undersigned. Thank you. Kind regards, Greg	
 UMHLABA ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING CC GREG COATES MSc Zoology Tel: 011 791 3389 Cel: 073 431 4006 E-mail: greg@umhlaba.co.za Web: www.umhlaba.co.za Post: P.O. Box 731504, Fairland, 2030  Please consider the environment before printing	

Greg Coates

From: Greg Coates
Sent: Wednesday, 06 March 2024 15:10
To: Greg Coates
Subject: Bundu Mining Application GP10124MR - REMINDER - Invitation to the Public Meeting 7th March 2024

Dear Interested and Affected Party,

You are kindly reminded of the public meeting tomorrow to discuss the content of the Draft Scoping Report for the Bundu Mining Application GP10124MR.

Date: 7th March 2024
Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift, 5 Roux Rd, Kameeldrift West.
Time: 5-7pm

The intention of the meeting is to discuss:

- The proposed activities and alternatives
- The baseline environment and receptors
- The identification of potential impacts

This will be an opportunity for information sharing and gaining input from the public on the above items to ensure that an informed Impact Assessment can be undertaken in the next phase of the project.

If attending, please ensure you are familiar with the content of the report before the meeting as only a summary of the report will be presented due to time constraints. The report is available electronically at <https://umhlaba.co.za/public-participation/>. A hard copy is also available at JuMaKi Venue & Events, 24 Kornoelie Road, Kameeldrift West.

Comment forms will be provided should you wish to submit comments after the meeting. Please bring your own pen.

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Greg



Please consider the environment before printing

b) MEETING MINUTES FOR PUBLIC MEETING HELD ON THE 7TH MARCH 2024

Post: P.O. Box 731504, Fairland, 2030 Tel: 011 791 3389 E-mail: info@umhlaba.co.za Web: www.umhlaba.co.za CK Reg: 2004/002962/23 Founding Members: Lynn Jones and Andrew Nicholson  UMHLABA ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING CC	
MINUTES OF PUBLIC MEETING	
BUNDU MINING (PTY) LTD - RIETVALEI MINING RIGHT APPLICATION GP10124MR	
Date:	7 th March 2024
Time:	17h00 – 19h15pm
Place:	Kameeldrift Primary School
<u>DISCUSSION BETWEEN:</u>	
Name	Organisation
1. Mr Greg Coates (PPP)	Umhlaba Environmental Consulting CC
2. Mr Mfundo Zondani (PPP)	Umhlaba Environmental Consulting CC
3. Ms Marli Burger (EAP)	Aquastrat Solutions
4. Mr Gabriel Gomes (Applicant)	Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd
5. Mr Danie Zeeman (Specialist)	Blast Management Consultants
6. Interested and affected parties	As per attached attendance register
<u>PURPOSE OF THE MEETING:</u>	
The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the draft scoping report for an application for an environmental authorisation related to proposed mining activities submitted by Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd over a certain portion of Portions 18 and 94 and a certain portion of the remaining extent of Portions 91 and 95 of the farm Uitzicht Alias Rietvalei 314 JR, located in the Magisterial District of Pretoria.	
<u>MEETING MINUTES:</u>	
Mr Coates opened the meeting and presented the following in English (please refer to the presentation slides for detail): – Introduction of the role players for the application including the applicant, the project team, Interested and Affected Parties (I&AP) and the Deciding Authority. – Overview of the application process and the scope of the meeting. Mr Coates then introduced the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) for the project, Ms Burger who then presented the key information from the draft Scoping Report in Afrikaans as per the presentation slides. Following the presentation of the draft Scoping Report, a discussion session was opened where Mr Coates was available to assist with queries in English, Ms Burger with queries in Afrikaans and Mr Zondani with queries in isiZulu and isiXhosa. The following discussions were put forward: – Mr Van Zyl indicated that there are further 2 houses not indicated on the map which are situated within the 500m radius of the mining area. He also asked if Ms Burger is an independent person in the process. He stated that the document (BID) delivered to the houses referred to the wrong party. – Ms Burger confirmed she is independent and that they should discuss the matter after the meeting to obtain the details of the landowner/occupiers within the 500m to rectify the matter. She asked if there are other people also situated within the 500m radius. – Mr van der Schyff said Gerotek (vehicle testing facility) also falls within the said area. – Mr Bredenkamp said his houses also fall within the 500m zone. – Unidentified speaker 1 asked if there are any seismologists or geologists who are part of the team of specialists.	

- Ms Burger said that a blasting specialist has undertaken the blasting assessment and that further specialist studies will be conducted during the next phase (Environmental Impact Assessment) where after feedback could be provided.
- Unidentified speaker 2 enquired in the case of an impact being unlikely will it still be investigated.
- Ms Burger said it will still be looked into.
- Unidentified speaker 3 said that if you have water run-off which impacts the quality of the water such quality could not be an unlikely impact
- Ms Burger confirmed that monitoring of the water will be done and will form part of the impact study going forward. During the meeting for the next phase all the information will be made available and further discussions will be held regarding the findings.
- Unidentified speaker 4 asked if his boreholes will be tested before mining commences as well as during and after mining has ceased.
- Ms Burger asked what distance his boreholes are situated or is he within a 1 km radius from the mining activities.
- Unidentified speaker 4 said he is within 500m.
- Ms Burger said it is a prerequisite of the hydro census (that forms part of the Hydrogeological study planned to be undertaken during the EIA phase) to assess boreholes within a certain radius to be determined.
- Mr Van Zyl indicated he is of the same opinion that groundwater cannot be seen as an unlikely impact as they are dependent on their borehole water.
- Unidentified speaker 5 stated that there is a reference to noise and it is anticipated that 50 truckloads will be hauled how could the impact be classified as a possible impact and should be considered a highly possible which could be a problem for the parties concerned.
- Mr van der Schyff stated there are a few aspects which are not covered by the information provided such as confidentiality of international people making use of the course and people being able to look down on the course. Security is also a problem of people who may gain access to the property. There is also a conference centre where corporate events are held on the property and blasting will impact such events negatively. He asked that the studies for blasting be done from the border of the application area and not where blasting is to be initiated.
- Mr Coates confirmed that the blasting studies are being conducted from the border of the application area (the maximum extent).
- Unidentified speaker 6 said that criminal activities will increase once the mining operations commence.
- Mr Van Zyl said that the quarry was mined previously and was closed and enquired why the operations were closed down.
- Mr Gomes replied that the quarry was closed in the 1980's owned by Afrisam from who the property was bought un-rehabilitated. Mining of sand recommenced in 2008 and rehabilitation of the sand mined areas took place. He is not aware of why the operations at the quarry was closed by the previous operator.
- Mrs du Preez said they are breeding with parrots (Greycan) and if the basting operations commence they could stop breeding and close their doors. She said they are registered in Switzerland to breed the endangered species.
- Mr Coates asked if she has any information pertaining to the Grey parrot with noise while breeding.
- Mrs du Preez said they work closely with Nature Conservation and will be glad to provide the information.
- Cllr Dzumba asked if there was a door to door consultation to obtain a feeling of the I&AP's. Why do they want to start mining if the mine was closed? The people are not against the sand mining but well against the blasting operations. Will infrastructure and agriculture not be negatively affected and has the studies been extensively undertaken. What are the next measures?
- Ms Burger replied that an attempt was made to hand deliver background information documents (BID) to direct and adjacent landowners / occupiers, where access was possible, as one method of notification. Where there was no access, the BID was left at the gate. She indicated that the application is still in the scoping phase which is an information gathering phase and that investigations will be undertaken and studies completed in the next phase (EIA) to understand the impacts and which mitigation measures should be in place.
- Unidentified speaker 7 asked what the depth of mining will be. The depth of mining below the surface will have a high possibility of impacting groundwater.

- Ms Burger replied the anticipated depth of mining is 20m and there could be a possibility of groundwater being impacted.
- Unidentified speaker 7 asked again how the applicant will confirm the performance and water quality of current boreholes especially once blasting takes place and if there is any damage will the applicant be liable.
- Ms Burger said that water related studies (hydrology and hydrogeological) have already been identified as necessary and will be conducted in the EIA phase to determine the impact on boreholes and groundwater. Monitoring will be mandatory with any authorisation. Furthermore a wetland study will also be commissioned to determine the existence of wetlands in and around the mining area so that the necessary management measures can be developed to protect these.
- Unidentified speaker 8 said there is mention of the use of diesel and use of generators. How green are you going to be?
- Mr Coates confirmed that all mobile and plant equipment will be powered by diesel generators. He iterated that the scale and size of the mine should be considered and that it could not be compared with a big gold mining operation. All these factors will be taken into consideration during the impact assessment phase. Once the information is available such findings will be shared with the I&AP's.
- Mr van Zyl said that it does not matter what the size of the project is but if it affects the water negatively they will work against the proposed project.
- Mrs van der Schyf said she had requested that the process be re-advertised due to the fact that they were only informed after 10am on the day of the meeting that the website was not operational and from 20 February nobody could register on that platform and therefore starting the process from scratch. The reason being that we are within the Magalies Biosphere and more people involved would mean a better assessment as they are against the project.
- Mr Coates responded that the fault on the website was regrettable and unintentional and offered an apology. He indicated that as the fault had only been noted that morning, other options would be considered going forward.
- Unidentified speaker 9 expressed concern that once the mining right has been issued the mine will change its activities which will impact the area more than what was portrayed. We need to know what the maximum impact will be.
- Mr Coates iterated that once an environmental authorization is granted, it is a requirement as part of that authorization that the mine will be assessed and audited on a periodical basis. Such auditors will come on site and assess what is happening and submit the findings to the authorities. Any negative finding must be rectified or the operation can be shut down by the authorities. There is therefore a process to ensure that operations are taking place in accordance with such approvals.
- Unidentified speaker 10 asked if the operations will continue for 30 years.
- Mr Coates confirmed that the 30 years is the maximum amount of time a mining right can be granted for. It can however be renewed.
- Mr van Zyl asked why the previous mine was not rehabilitated.
- Mr Coates confirmed that there was no legislation in place during the 1980's to ensure that mining areas were rehabilitated. Current legislation requires that financial provision is in place to ensure money is available in cases where rehab has not taken place.
- Mr van Zyl is of the opinion that these types of quarries could not be rehabilitated as can be seen at various similar quarries in the province. How will the quarry be rehabilitated? Further he enquired that during Sept 2022 approximately 8500 people signed a petition that no mining activities will take place within the Magalies Biosphere. Have you had a look at that petition?
- Mr Coates indicated that according to the map on the Biosphere website, the application area is outside of the demarcated biosphere and buffers.
- Mr van Zyl commented that rehabilitation of 2 years ago on a neighbouring property was not completed. He asked how many tons will be removed per month and how many trucks will be required.
- Mr Coates confirmed the amount of trucks on the road at maximum production of the mine (20 000 tons per month) would be max 50 loads per day.
- Mr Gomes stated that in relation to the rehab not being done on the neighbouring property, he could not answer for someone else not rehabilitating there property but that there are measures in place for the community to report such transgressions to the authorities (DMRE).

- Mr van Zyl asked how will there interests be protected if the applicant decides to lease or sublease the property and mining operations..
- Mr Gomes said that the mining right cannot be sublet and that the shareholders of the applicant will remain responsible for the mining area.
- Mr van Zyl said he has no problem with the sand mine but the creation of a massive quarry does not benefit the community and not a lot of jobs will be created. He further asked what will happen if the company is sold.
- Mr Gomes confirmed that DMRE must provide consent in terms of the MPRDA to transfer such liability to new shareholders and until such time the responsibility stays with the original holder.
- Mr van Zyl asked what amount will be required to rehabilitate a 109 hectare quarry.
- Mr Gomes iterated that the appointed consultants who are qualified practitioners, determine the amount by means of legislated processes and requirements.
- Mr van Zyl asked if a rehabilitation plan has been developed.
- Mr Coates said the rehabilitation plan is developed as part of the EIA in the next phase
- Mr van Zyl indicated he is concerned that the a dumping site may be developed if the hole could not be filled.
- Mr Coates indicated it is highly unlikely for a quarry to be legally used for a dumping site due to the associated impacts. Specific authorizations would be needed to develop a landfill site.
- Mr van Zyl expressed concerns about the fact that a huge quarry will be developed for a mere mineral such as quarts.
- Mr Coates responded that the processing and washing plant to be developed will create plaster sand from the weathered quartzite which is in high demand in the region. The majority of the product will be sand with some aggregate.
- Unidentified speaker 11 enquired if a property specialist will be commissioned to determine the impact of property value of the next 5 to 10 years. He further asked how does the mining operations fit in with the municipal and provincial development framework?
- Mr Coates stated that one of the sources of information that is utilised is the Integrated Development Plan (IDP) of the municipality and they are consulted with in order for them to assess if it fits in with their development programme.
- Unidentified speaker 12 asked if an impact study can be commissioned on the infrastructure and roads in respect of heavy vehicle traffic. Businesses in the area are currently undertaking the maintenance of roads as the City of Tswane does not have the funds to maintain roads in the area. She also asked to clarify why the board states Gomes Sand and not Bundu.
- Mr Coates replied that Gomes Sand is the operator of the processing plant and they will process the material for sale.
- Unidentified speaker 13 asked to provide clarity on the blasting map. What does the colours represent. What is the radius and how far is it from the R514?. He further stated that they might be situated within the orange zone which is 1500m.
- Mr Coates referred to the blasting map in the presentation and explained that the red circle is the 500m radius, orange is 1500m and green is 3.5km. The R514 is just beyond 1500m and according to the assessment you will not expect a significant impact in terms of risk to infrastructure. It will however be looked at in further detail during the impact assessment
- Mr Gomes provided further clarification that the shapes are not circles but ovals measured from the perimeter of the proposed mining right. If one takes the 500m from where the old quarry is located and when blasting would be initiated, it does not even cross the applicants property boundary. The the worst case scenario is being modelled based on the quarry pit at the end of 150 years of mining. Therefore within the 30 year period of the initial right, you might find that blasting impacts will not get to anyone's boundaries. Each owner could use Google Maps to determine their boundary to see in which zone they fall.
- Mr Steenkamp said his father purchased 2 properties and his main concern is the effect of the mining operations on the water quality as they work with instant lawn which needs a lot of water to cultivate especially after mentioning the use of 1000 cubes of water per day and the impact on his business and surrounding businesses.
- Mr Coates stated no underground water studies have been done yet as they are to be undertaken as part of the EIA phase. Mining will be along the ridge and to a depth of 20m so it needs to be investigated if mining will intersect with the underground water. Mining will not affect what is at the bottom of the ridge as no mining will take place there only the processing plant will be situated there. The water usage for the plant is proposed 1000 cubes per day then recycled at 70%. As part of the specialist study the drawdown in that particular borehole will be

modelled which will create an underground cone where the water is depleted and to see how far the cone extends which may affect any other boreholes in the area. A hydro census will be undertaken to determine where all the boreholes are located within a certain radius and to overlay it to determine the effect on other boreholes.

- Mr Gomes reiterated the recycling of the 70% of water which would mean that while 1000 cubes is required for the washing plant, mostly recycled water will be used and only 300 cubes would need to be extracted per day. The information collected from the studies will be forwarded to the Department of Water and Sanitation where the water use license would need to be applied for. No water can be withdrawn until such water license has been granted. There are control measures such as meter readings to be sent to DWS twice a year. Underground water monitoring will also be done and such findings will be mapped out to determine how the water table is affected. Water quality monitoring will also be done in the Swartspuit where it enters and exits the property to determine the impact of the operations on water quality. Further water quality monitoring will be done on the boreholes as per prescribed methods from DWS in the granted water use license. He further reiterated that no chemicals are used in the process. He further stated that this is only the beginning phase of the consultation process and further specialist studies will be conducted to ensure coexistence from his side of the property to all other people in the community.
- Mr Steenkamp stated that he does not mind if the applicant benefits from the mining operation as long as the community do not suffer and their quality of life is not impacted negatively.
- Mr Gomes assured him that it is not the intention to create a worse impact and it is for this reason that all the specialists are appointed to ensure that any possible impact be mitigated and minimised. He also stated that with the help of Mr Zeeman and his own experience in blasting operations, blasting will be done effectively without causing damage to property and infrastructure. A seismograph will be utilised to monitor ground and air vibrations.
- Mr Zeeman reiterated what Mr Gomes said by indicating that a virtual area is identified to see where the initial impact is within 500m. The closer the area is the higher the blasting vibrations will be. During the impact assessment phase all the structures will be looked at within an area of 3.5km. Due to experience in the industry the most critical area within a blasting zone is 500m which is also stated in various laws and legislation. All impacts are therefore expected to decrease at longer distances. You may however at a distance of 1500m still feel the effect of blasting but no damage to infrastructure will be done. From 1500m to 3500m we do not expect any significant impacts. During the impact assessment the expected vibrations are calculated and contours are drawn of levels of vibrations from the pit area to where it progresses, changes or decreases. The same is done with the airblast and flyrock. It is anticipated that approximately 2 blast per month with a duration of 3-5 seconds. There are certain mitigation measures which can be put in place to minimise the impact of blasting.
- Unidentified speaker 12 asked if another meeting will be held to discuss all the findings of the studies and before any decisions are made.
- Mr Coates confirmed that it is only the 3rd week into the application process and will last for another 6 months. It could be seen as a two phase project of which this is the first public meeting and there is a mandatory meeting for the environmental impact assessment phase. There are always other opportunities to meet such as meeting online or one on one meetings if necessary. There is also the comment forms available which can be completed and sent at a later stage. Written comments are very important as facts could be lost in verbal conversations.
- Mr Coates called for a last comment in order to bring the meeting to a close.
- Mr van der Schyff asked if blasting could take place near the ammunitions factory located close to Gerotek. Small scale manufacturing is currently taking place but will be developed to include large scale manufacturing.
- Mr Zeeman said there are two regulations in terms of the Mine Health and Safety Act which need to be complied with. This regulation states that no blasting may take place within 500m from any surface structure and if it is closer, an application to the Principal Inspector of Mines will have to be made. Gerotek is located in such a position that the mountain serves as a natural barrier protecting it against blasting. Vibrations may be felt however and would be investigated further in the EIA phase.
- Mr Coates thanked all for attending the meeting and closed the meeting at 19h15.

C) ATTENDANCE REGISTERS FOR PUBLIC MEETING HELD ON THE 7TH MARCH 2024



(011) 791 3389
info@umhlaba.co.za

P.O. Box 731504
Fairland, 2030



Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project: Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR
Date: 7th March 2024
Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift
Time: 17h00

Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
J.S. Viljoen	Plot 60, Roux 575 PRIVATIS KAM-LES	viljoenjs@gmail.com	082 473 1552	
P.M. Viljoen	Plot 60, Roux 575 KAM-LES	viljoenjs@gmail.com	082 473 1552	
V. Southall	Plot 127 - Van Der Heff	southallvictor@gmail.com	071 361 1001	
Mickel JAYAD	Plot 119 Kerkhof RD	082 1982 0901	060 944 2515	
Willem Davis	Plot 13 Kruisvlei	Willem Davis Farmacy.co.za	081 434 0017	
Semara Medgepedeneen	SANCO	Ukagalewe@sanco.co.za	071 243 9075	
GH Druy	Hoem 102 Witpoort	Robson@tacet.co.za	082 584 1612	
P.J. Viljoen	Plot 15 Van Schothorst 5 Kruisvlei	fransvilljoen@gmail.com	061 491 7091	
R. Bredenkamp	Plot 74, v.d. Hoff	robbinbredenkamp@gmail.com	062 815 6401	
M.I. Du Plessis	Plot 21 CHT 188 KRAUSE VILLAGE	LOTTIE@SADDER.CO.ZA	082 682 5040	



(011) 791 3389
info@umhlaba.co.za

P.O. Box 731504
Fairland, 2030



Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project: Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR
Date: 7th March 2024
Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift
Time: 17h00

Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
SS du Plessis	Plot 96		0723802357	
Elize Pictorse (niebedenkamp)	Kameeldrift 313JR	ejpieterse@gmail.com	0165957298	
Niel van Zyl	House 102, Vitzicht	boela101@gmail.com	0837780064	
Sonja Rossouw	Plot 103, Vitzicht	sonja@parosouw.co.za	0832993174	
Mantlache Nkashane	Kirkney Village	nkashanengmantladi@gmail.com	0720469881	
Tanya Kotze	22 VAN SCHALKWYK STR, Kameeldrift	tanyakotze@gmail.com	0826591636	
Dzumea Makoma	570 Rood Str, Formastad	dzumelalandator@gmail.com	0632893537	
Leonore du Toit	Kameeldrift 313	ldt@stephore.co.za	0824918219	
Thomas vd Meuse	Plot 90, Vitzicht	thomashypenwork@gmail.com	0815760237	
William Steenkamp	Plaas Vitzicht 98	williamsteeenkamp@gmail.com	0722117046	



(011) 791 3389
info@umhlaba.co.za

P.O. Box 731504
Fairland, 2030



Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project: Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR
Date: 7th March 2024
Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift
Time: 17h00

Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
Johann VD Schuyf	Property		083 5397265	
I vd Schuyf	"	vdscyfi@gmail.com	0788 011 300	
J. Gous	Property	jannegous@yahoo.com	0836792939	
H. Gous	Property	heleen.gous@gmail.com	0828810719	
Byd Vestruizen	Property		0827751095	
S Collin	Property	Jacques collins@valh...	072394682	

Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project: Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR
Date: 7th March 2024
Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift
Time: 17h00

Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
CARL PIETERSE	Margulies & Koring Roos 313 Kameeldrift	carl.piet@ gmail .com	0711461657	
B. J. Jansen	49 Steenboksdrif Agalesfontein	johan-jansen@ yahoo .mail .co .za	0823390403	
Yvonne Dzumba	ward 55 C111	yvonne.kwena@gmail.com	0713417068	
PETRO SHERPERS	PINE & MAGAULEPOT	schroten@ gmail .com	0829794303	
JACO SHERPERS	PINE & MAGAULEPOT	jaco.sherpers@ gmail .com	0723469800	
Telana Bates	Roux 61, Kameeldrift	tkbates@gmail.com	0823357486	
Laura van der Merwe	Plot 23, Vitzicht	ljedit@gmail.com	0834699793	



(011) 791 3389
info@umhlaba.co.za

P.O. Box 731504
Fairland, 2030



Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project:
Date:
Venue:
Time:

Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR
7th March 2024
Laerskool Kameeldrift
17h00

Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
CHAPPY DE LOUX	STYLE DECOR	info@styledecor.co.za	0828891115	[Signature]
Piet van der SCHYFF	GEROTEK	piet@gerotek.co.za	0828005932	[Signature]
ESTELLE V D WESTHUIZEN	Plot 92 Giliash	estelle@westhuizen.co.za	0824498534	[Signature]

d) INVITATION AND REMINDER NOTIFICATIONS FOR PUBLIC MEETING 14TH MARCH 2024

Greg Coates

From: Greg Coates
Sent: Friday, 08 March 2024 21:30
To: Greg Coates
Subject: Bundu Mining Application GP10124MR - INVITATION TO SECOND PUBLIC MEETING - 14th March 2024

Dear Interested and Affected Party,

It has come to our attention that people registering for the Bundu Mining Right GP10124MR project through the Umhlaba website were not recorded due to a technical fault on the website and therefore these people did not receive the invitation to the first meeting. For this we sincerely apologise and would like to invite all those interested in the project to attend a second public meeting with the following details:

Date: Thursday 14th March 2024

Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift, 5 Roux Rd, Kameeldrift.

Time: 18h30 for 19h00 (the meeting will start promptly at 19h00)

This will be an opportunity for information sharing and gaining input from the community on the draft Scoping Report to ensure that an informed Impact Assessment can be undertaken in the next phase of the project.

The intention of the meeting is to discuss:

- The proposed activities and alternatives
- The baseline environment and receptors
- The identification of potential impacts

If attending, please ensure you are familiar with the content of the report before the meeting as only a summary of the report will be presented due to time constraints. The report is available at <https://umhlaba.co.za/public-participation/>. Comment forms will be provided should you wish to submit comments after the meeting. Please bring your own pen.

IMPORTANT: Registration on the website has been removed due to the fault and all requests must please be sent to greg@umhlaba.co.za

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Greg



GREG COATES MSc Zoology

Tel: 011 791 3389 | Cel: 073 431 4006

E-mail: greg@umhlaba.co.za | Web: www.umhlaba.co.za

Post: P.O. Box 731504, Fairland, 2030

Please consider the environment before printing

Greg Coates

From: Greg Coates
Sent: Wednesday, 13 March 2024 09:21
To: Greg Coates
Subject: REMINDER - Public Meeting 14th March 2024 for Bundu Mining Application GP10124MR

Dear Interested and Affected Party,

You are kindly reminded of the opportunity to attend the second public meeting tomorrow to discuss the content of the Draft Scoping Report for the Bundu Mining Application GP10124MR.

Date: Thursday 14th March 2024

Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift, 5 Roux Rd, Kameeldrift.

Time: 18h30 for 19h00 (the meeting will start promptly at 19h00)

The intention of the meeting is to discuss:

- The proposed activities and alternatives
- The baseline environment and receptors
- The identification of potential impacts

This will be an opportunity for information sharing and gaining input from the public on the above items to ensure that an informed Impact Assessment can be undertaken in the next phase of the project.

If attending, please ensure you are familiar with the content of the report before the meeting as only a summary of the report will be presented due to time constraints. The report is available electronically at <https://umhlaba.co.za/public-participation/>.

Comment forms will be provided should you wish to submit comments after the meeting. Please bring your own pen.

Thank you.

Kind regards,

Greg





GREG COATES MSc Zoology



Tel: 011 791 3389 | Cel: 073 431 4006

E-mail: greg@umhlaba.co.za | Web: www.umhlaba.co.za

Post: P.O. Box 731504, Fairland, 2030

Please consider the environment before printing





PUBLIC MEETING / OPENBARE VERGADERING

BUNDU MINING RIGHT APPLICATION GP10124MR

Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd has applied for a Mining Right to restart operations at an old aggregate quarry over 109ha of Portions 18, 91, 94 and 95 of the farm Uitzicht alias Rietvalei 314 JR. Mining would include loosening of weathered quartzite material through mechanical means (excavator) and blasting where required. Processing would include crushing and screening as well as washing of certain products. Full details of the project are available in the draft Scoping Report available at www.umhlaba.co.za/public-participation/

The application was submitted on the 16th February 2024. The application process requires Public Participation. Notification and registration of Interested and Affected Parties was initiated on the 20 February 2024. Due to a technical fault with the online registration process, some people did not receive the invitation to the first meeting held on the 7th March 2024. For this we sincerely apologise and would like to invite all those interested in the project to attend a second public meeting with the following details:

Date: Thursday 14th March 2024
Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift, 5 Roux Rd, Kameeldrift.
Time: 18h30 for 19h00 (the meeting will start promptly at 19h00)

This will be an opportunity for information sharing and gaining input from the community on the draft Scoping Report.

IMPORTANT: Umhlaba Environmental Consulting CC has been appointed to conduct the Public Participation Process for the project. Please contact Greg Coates at greg@umhlaba.co.za to register as an Interested and Affected Party and to receive more information.

Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd het aansoek gedoen vir 'n Mynreg om bedrywighede te herbegin by 'n ou totale steengroef oor 109ha van Gedeeltes 18, 91, 94 en 95 van die plaas Uitzicht alias Rietvalei 314 JR. Mynbou sal die losmaak van verveerde kwartsietmateriaal deur meganiese middele (graafmasjien) en skietwerk insluit waar nodig. Verwerking sal vergruising en sifting sowel as was van sekere produkte insluit. Volledige besonderhede van die projek is beskikbaar in die konsep Bestekopnameverslag beskikbaar by www.umhlaba.co.za/public-participation/

Die aansoek is op 16 Februarie 2024 ingedien. Die aansoekproses vereis Openbare Deelname. Kennisgewing en registrasie van Belanghebbende en Geaffekteerde Partye is op 20 Februarie 2024 begin. As gevolg van 'n tegniese fout met die aanlyn registrasieproses, het sommige mense nie die uitnodiging ontvang na die eerste vergadering wat op 7 Maart 2024 gehou is nie. Hiervoor vra ons opreg om verskoning en nooi graag alle belangstellendes in die projek uit om 'n tweede openbare vergadering by te woon met die volgende besonderhede:

Datum: Donderdag 14 Maart 2024
Plek: Laerskool Kameeldrift, Rouxweg 5, Kameeldrift.
Tyd: 18h30 vir 19h00 (die vergadering begin stiptelik om 19h00)

Dit sal 'n geleentheid wees vir die deel van inligting en om insette van die gemeenskap oor die konsep Bestekopnameverslag te kry.

BELANGRIK: Umhlaba Environmental Consulting BK is aangestel om die Openbare Deelnameproses vir die projek uit te voer. Kontak asseblief vir Greg Coates by greg@umhlaba.co.za om as 'n Belangstellende en Geaffekteerde Party te registreer en om meer inligting te ontvang.

Kameeldrift Sasol / Foodzone, Van der Hoff Rd posted on the 10th March 2024.



Kameeldrift Spar, Van der Hoff Rd posted on the 10th March 2024.



GPF Sektor 4, Community centre. Duiker street, Magaliesmoot posted on the 10th March 2024.



f) MEETING MINUTES FOR PUBLIC MEETING HELD ON THE 14TH MARCH 2024

Post: P.O. Box 731504, Fairland, 2030 Tel: 011 791 3389 E-mail: info@umhlaba.co.za Web: www.umhlaba.co.za CK Reg: 2004/002962/23 Founding Members: Lynn Jones and Andrew Nicholson UMHLABA ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING CC	
MINUTES OF PUBLIC MEETING	
BUNDU MINING (PTY) LTD - RIETVALEI MINING RIGHT APPLICATION GP10124MR	
Date:	14 th March 2024
Time:	19h00 – 21h28pm
Place:	Kameeldrift Primary School
DISCUSSION BETWEEN:	
Name	Organisation
1. Mr Mr Coates Coates (PPP)	Umhlaba Environmental Consulting CC
2. Mr Mfundo Zondani (PPP)	Umhlaba Environmental Consulting CC
3. Ms Marli Burger (EAP)	Aquastrat Solutions
4. Mr Gabriel Gomes (Applicant)	Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd
5. Mr Danie Zeeman (Specialist)	Blast Management Consultants
6. Mr Edward de Lange (Consultant)	De Lange Consulting
7. Interested and affected parties	As per attached attendance register
PURPOSE OF THE MEETING: The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the draft scoping report for an application for an environmental authorisation related to proposed mining activities submitted by Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd over a certain portion of Portions 18 and 94 and a certain portion of the remaining extent of Portions 91 and 95 of the farm Uitzicht Alias Rietvalei 314 JR, located in the Magisterial District of Pretoria.	
MEETING MINUTES: Mr Coates opened the meeting and presented the following in English (please refer to the presentation slides for detail): – Explanation for holding 2nd meeting. – Introduction of the role players for the application including the Applicant, the project team, Interested and Affected Parties (I&AP) and the Deciding Authority. – Overview of the application process and the scope of the meeting. Mr Coates then introduced the Environmental Assessment Practitioner (EAP) for the project, Ms Burger who then presented the key information from the draft Scoping Report in Afrikaans as per the presentation slides. Following the presentation of the draft Scoping Report, a discussion session was opened where Mr Coates was available to assist with queries in English, Ms Burger with queries in Afrikaans and Mr Zondani with queries in isiZulu and isiXhosa. The following discussions were put forward: – Mr Herman Pretorius enquired how the start date of 20 February had been determined. He also asked how the alternative areas 1, 2 and 3 were derived and to provide the extents of the areas. He knows that area 1 is 109 hectares, and would like to know the extents of areas 2 and 3. – Mr Coates confirmed that the date of 20 February was the start of the notification period for consultation which should start as soon as the application has been submitted to DMRE. The first stage takes 44 days to complete of which 30 days is for consultation. The procedure is to prepare the application and scoping report up until it is ready to be submitted. As soon as it is submitted, the consultation has 30 days to finalize the scoping report.	

- Mr Herman Pretorius asked if it does not make more sense to move the date to the 14th March, instead of the 20th February.
- Mr Coates explained that 20 February was the start of the consultation process. There were a number of different ways for Umhlaba (according to legislation) to notify I&AP's. One of which is to put up site notices, another is to drop off background information documents with the surrounding landowners, and to put an advert in the newspaper. A further method is to obtain details by means of deed searches. The point is to get the information into the community. It is difficult to obtain all I&AP's contact information from the start, and it is by utilising these different methods to get the initial information to the community. Once the initial feedback is received you can then determine how to get maximum awareness within the community. Mr Coates stated that the community currently has good communication channels in place, such as security groups and neighbourhood watch groups. This was one of the ways it was thought to get the information out into the community, and he also asked if such information could be sent out on the various WhatsApp groups, and believed that such information had been received via the groups. As we started to receive information on the people who registered for the project and to receive feedback, their details could be recorded on the register for I&AP's. The reason why the process is prescribed in such a way is that we need to obtain your consent to be involved in the process. We cannot send you unsolicited information. When you receive the notification, you will have to register to receive all the information concerning to the project.
- Mr Victor Southall said during the previous meeting the number of ways to notify I&AP's was discussed, of which one was to place an advert in a newspaper. However, the advert sent out was not placed in a newspaper located in their area. The other one is the placement of notices at the entrance to the site. It has been claimed that all property owners had been notified, which is untrue. He said he knows of three people situated directly adjacent to the property who had not been notified, which he subsequently notified on their WhatsApp group. He stated further that during the past week WhatsApp groups have been established which currently stands at approximately 320 members. He put forward that the timeframe established for the whole report is insufficient. He is of the opinion that such timeframes should be extended as there are 6 days remaining for the community to provide its feedback for their questions and concerns, and this is clearly not enough time. He said they are trying to obtain more concerned members and those interested in the mining report. With 6 days left they have only just started to get members together to do something about the proposed project.
- Mr Coates stated that the public meeting is one method of consulting and has considered that the information has been in the community longer than last week as it has been on the WhatsApp groups and email systems. He also understood the issues experienced with the online registrations that resulted in a lot of people not being invited to the first meeting, and others were waiting to be invited to the second meeting. In terms of the extension there is provision in the legislation to apply for an extension for consultation, but this is not a guarantee, and needs to be motivated to the authorities to approve an extension. There is however a process to follow in this regard. Feedback will be provided.
- Unidentified speaker asked who applies for the extension.
- Mr Coates stated that the Applicant needs to lodge the application to DMRE and motivate why the extension is required. Mr Coates followed up concerning the question raised pertaining to the sizes of the alternative areas and stated the area in red is the maximum resource area which is 109 hectares, the yellow area is 54 hectares, and the blue area will be confirmed after the meeting.
- Mr Johan Vorster stated that it seems as if the right has already been approved and asked if that is the case.
- Mr Coates reiterated that the process is still in the very early stages. There are two phases and it is still in the scoping phase. The application was submitted approximately one month ago and would probably be a six-month process, and there is still a lot of further work to go into the process.
- Mr Gerntholtz stated he is not in agreement with the entire process, and that there are bullfrogs in the area, which he knows as being a protected species. Secondly, he stated that the extraction of water and the use of explosives will negatively affect their way of life. He asked who will be responsible for damage to infrastructure and roads. He stated further there are many people in the community who care about the environment.
- Mr Coates said that all the concerns raised are a common concern, which he has received feedback on. Feedback has been requested from the specialist studies concerning the bullfrogs and other bird species. There is still opportunity to look at more information at this stage of the

project. We are nowhere close in making a decision. There is still a lot of consideration to be done, as well as a lot of information to go through regarding this process. This is all before such information can be submitted to the authorities to make their decision.

- Concerning the water, Ms Burger indicated in one of her last slides that the Applicant is committed to conduct water studies. These will include hydrology (which relates to surface water), geohydrology (which refers to groundwater), as well as the potential for wetlands. Those relate to one of the pieces of information gaps and has noted the concerns of the community pertaining to these specific studies for water.
- Mr Carl Pieterse stated in terms of the Regulations, all interested parties should be notified. If notification is not given in the timeframes, should the project team ensure that all parties are notified? He said, if there is a delay, the project team should motivate why the period should be extended. What could the team do to motivate to the relevant authorities to obtain such extension. He feels they need more time to provide comments.
- Mr Coates noted it would be the responsibility of the Applicant to instruct the team to apply for an extension. Mr Coates asked if the meeting could agree that they would prefer an extension, and to resolve the matter.
- The meeting agreed.
- Mr Ernest Louw stated it was mentioned in the introduction that the team should be independent. He disputed the fact that the project team is totally independent as they receive compensation from the Applicant, and therefore cannot be totally independent. He noted that Mr Coates refers to this as a consultation process. He stated that, in his experience, this is a functional process where the project team wants to tell the community what the Applicant want, and the communities concerns are not listened to.
- Mr Coates stated that with regards to independence, there are obvious concerns that the Applicant has the responsibility to appoint the project team. In addition to the legislature, somebody needs to pay for the specialist and the project process. It is difficult to find another way of making sure the process is independent. The project should involve an Environmental Assessment Practitioner, which in this case is Ms Burger. She is required to be registered at the professional body for the Environmental Assessment Practitioners Association of South Africa (EAPASA), and she needs to be held accountable in terms of the professional body. If the team is not independent they could risk their professional registration. There are a lot of incentives to remain independent as it is our chosen profession.
- Mr DeeJay Swanepoel asked how many employees are working on site at the moment and how many will be employed in future.
- Mr Coates said currently with the sand mining operation there is one full time employee and in future 4 people will be employed.
- Mrs Ina van der Schyff said she is a member of the Magaliesberg Bewarea Conservancy. The conservancy has been in existence for a couple of years and has been working in the background. It has been discussed by members to have the ability to be heard. She asked Ms Burger if she has renewed her credentials at EAPASA.
- Ms Burger confirmed yes.
- Mrs van der Schyff confirmed that Ms Burgers registration lapsed on 29 February. She also stated if she had been a lawyer she would have followed the letter of the law. If a regulation stated you must advertise or consult, it is not the responsibility of the community to notify each other of a proposed project. The duty lies with the project team and they have not fulfilled such duty. It seems that the entire communities constitutional right to be properly notified has not been fulfilled. A letter was addressed to her saying that 44 emails had been sent to I&AP's. Elize notified the project team on 7 March, at the previous public meeting, that its online registration system was not operational. Mrs van der Schyff noted that this would not stand in front of a Judge. She said that she proposes that the application process be done from scratch. Advertisements should be placed in the newspapers Beeld and Pomolong. She said members of the Bewarea will indicate the areas where the notice boards should be placed. She said she follows the law, and why does the process not do the same. Please explain that to the community.
- Mr Coates said the process, as explained earlier, is difficult to have everybody's contact details from the beginning, especially as those working on project do not live in the area. This is why the team rely on the consultation process, and then to work closely with the community in order to create awareness. There had been an unfortunate glitch in respect of the website which was unintentional. Mr Coates also apologised for that and therefore held the second meeting to

- notify everybody. Mr Coates stated once again that it has been resolved to extend the timeframes to ensure the community is happy for the required time to review the documents. Mr Coates stated that the intention is there to follow the legislative process. It is obvious that certain issues may arise and the issues could be adapted to. It is a challenge for the team who do not live in the area to obtain contact details of the community. Mr Coates said they were relying on the communication channels available in the community to assist in creating awareness. He explained there are challenges especially in respect of the community not seeing the team as being independent or trustworthy. Mr Coates said the team can only try to work with the community, and had been in contact with the conservancy, working closely with them to create the awareness. It is believed that the community has been receiving information through the WhatsApp groups and email channels. If there is more the team could do, then this public forum was there to identify those channels to create more awareness.
- Unspecified speaker asked if the extension was for 40 days.
 - Mr Coates replied that the extension will be for a maximum period of 50 days, however this was not guaranteed.
 - Mr Frans Dekker stated that the process followed seems to be fairly accurate in terms of the environmental impact assessments done, and he is comfortable with it and has confidence in the process followed. Many years ago, mining was just approved without proper regulation. After many of those mining operations becoming a problem, many people became aware of their rights. The fact remains that mountains, rivers, wetlands agricultural land, woodlands and natural bush areas are now seen as international preserve areas, after the year 2000. It is said that if a mountain is blasted away the mountain will not grow back. When you want to perform mining activities a rehabilitation fund must be established in order to re-establish the ground to its natural state before mining took place. This is governed by law in South Africa. He is of the opinion that he would not like to drive past the mountain and the view to be obscured by a hole in the mountain. Old quarries were left unrehabilitated in the past. Mining companies in the past took the rehabilitation fund and left the properties unrehabilitated.
 - Mr Cornell Crafford asked what amount of water will be used per day on the mining area.
 - Mr Coates said the anticipated use will be 1000 cubes a day, which will be authorised. The process allows for the recycling of water. It will be closed water system which includes settling dams. The water goes through the settling dams, it settles out down to a clear water dam which is then recycled back into the system. This will essentially be topped up through the borehole water.
 - Unspecified speaker said they will extract 300 000 litres per day from their resources.
 - Mr Coates said it is the proposed volume and still needs to be assessed and is part of the assessment process and water studies. The process of a water use license will still have to be done. Part of the process is to assess what is available in the area and what abstraction can be obtained.
 - Mr Carl Pieterse said his worry is that he will have limited water. The moment the Applicant abstracts the excessive water, how will it affect the surrounding community's water. There will have to be an in-depth water study on everybody's property and see who will be affected. If that is the case the Applicant should buy the communities property.
 - Mr Coates said part of the geohydrological study is to do a hydro census. The specialist will have a look at the authorised users in the area and existing the boreholes. The actual boreholes will be tested to determine what the available resource is. This also feeds into the water use license referred to previously.
 - Mr D A Kimble asked if the comments submitted are showing the Applicant the community's cards because he feels the project team is not independent as they work for the company. He feels that all the comments handed in should be kept private and a case made against it.
 - Mr Coates said they have an independent person taking the minutes of the meeting, which will be provided to all the I&AP's publicly. If there are any discrepancies of the manner in which the minutes were taken on the comments captured, such discrepancies can be brought to the teams' attention, and will be revised in the minutes. There will be a formal record of the meeting.
 - Mrs Liza Rossouw expressed her concern regarding the boreholes and has arranged an onsite visit for Monday by a geohydrologist. This person mentioned to her that the water is funnelled through structures and hard rock, and therefore a seismic impact on these structures could be affected over several km's. These structures could also be closed off as a result thereof if blasting is carried out.

- Mr Coates said it will be useful information to have. No geohydrological studies have been undertaken yet. Mr Coates suggested to their team to undertake the specialist study. An independent study could be done thereafter.
- Mrs van der Schyff asked if they could do the study from the community's side on the application area.
- Mr Coates asked if she would not like wait to see the specialist study commissioned by the Applicant before they commission their own specialist. He said it is something that will have to be discussed with the landowner after the meeting.
- Mrs van der Schyff asked for him to discuss it during the meeting.
- Mr Gomes said he would rather discuss the matter with the other directors owning the land before providing an answer.
- Mrs van der Schyff implied that his answer is no.
- Mr Gomes explained that he is not the only person involved in making decisions.
- Mrs van der Schyff asked who the partners are.
- Mr Gomes replied there are a number of directors, notably himself, Mr Geradus Gomes, Mr Mabula, and the landowner Sebastio Farms; of whom the directors are Mr Gabriel Gomes, Mr Geradus Gomes, and Ms Tiara Gomes.
- Mrs van der Schyff asked if the specialist can come at a later stage if the answer is no.
- Mrs Liza Rossouw said he can only come through on Monday.
- Unspecified speaker said they are pressed for time.
- Mr Coates said they are still in the scoping phase and the information required is environmental attributes still to be assessed. He said he is not sure what the specialist wants to do on the day.
- Mrs Liza Rossouw said they will go on site and use equipment. She knows the area and has shown where boreholes should be drilled and worked previously at Water Affairs. It will be better if a site visit could be arranged for Monday.
- Ms Burger asked Liza if the person could make information available as to what they intend to do on site. A geohydrological study is usually done when a borehole is tested.
- Mrs Liza Rossouw said she does not know exactly what they will be doing. They look at the landscape. They will not test the boreholes at this stage.
- Ms Burger said she agrees with Mr Coates's suggestion that their geohydrologist also investigates the matter. If a geohydrologist goes on site they will have to determine what the yield of the borehole is, as it is not something you can determine on the surface. It is advisable to wait for the hydro study as it is senseless to spend double costs. The study could also be coordinated between the two parties.
- Mr Frans Dekker said it does not concern the testing of the water it is about determining the structure and the flow of the water. They have geological equipment that will scan such structures.
- Mr Coates asked if Mrs Liza Rossouw could send through the details of the person as well as a proposal of what they want to do. It is understandable that the landowner just wants to know what they will be doing on the property. Mr Coates asked that it might not be on Monday could it be on a later date.
- Mrs Liza Rossouw said she will find out.
- Mr Morné Erasmus said he does not know the process but it seems as if it is confusing. If the project team really wanted to be independent, it would be suggested that the landowner for the first couple of meetings not attend. First get the people involved and understand what they need. It seems as if the team wants to steamroll the process. It seems as if the Applicant is going to do it and they have already obtained the right. It seems as if the community must do the teams work as well, as well as their own WhatsApp groups and communication to complete the work. He said he only recently was informed of the meeting, and it seems as if the team did not inform the community. If the community cannot be informed, how are all the boreholes going to be tested? Not all the boreholes have been registered, so how would the team know how many boreholes there are? Before submitting the application, more homework should have been done beforehand. When it has been determined whether it is good for the community, then only the mining company/landowner could get involved. Only then will the community have been more accommodating.
- Mr Coates said he understand, and it is a suggestion which can be taken forward. It has been an issue in his past experience that the community needs to have information from the Applicant, as well when the Applicant is not in attendance of the meeting. Perhaps in the next phase it could be incorporated.

- Mr Gernholtz said they are only a few people fighting against the proposed project but it should be kept in mind that if someone has money anything could be bought under the table. He made the suggestion if the community could get a lawyer to represent them or someone who has knowledge of earth moving. Will the Applicant at the end of the day motivate the operations as being job creation?
- Mr Coates asked if he wants a response or was it merely a statement.
- Mr Gernholtz said it was only a statement.
- Mr Coates said the process requires that the EAP and the project teams are independent, and essentially, they are not representing the Applicant, but considering both sides equally. Mr Coates said that it is their mandate to represent the community's interest in the project as well. This is often felt in the beginning of a process because the parties involved are getting to know one another, and it may seem that the community is not being heard or represented. However, we hope to have better communication, and to get the feedback to do the impact assessment properly. The last thing the team wants is to go ahead without the relevant information and have an impact assessment which is not accurate. The impact assessment is where the community will be represented, and we have your information and concerns, as well as the significance thereof.
- Unspecified speaker enquired if the ward councillor was invited to the meeting.
- Mr Coates said Cllr Dzumba did attend the previous meeting and was in contact with her today. She had said she was attending the meeting but did not attend.
- Mr Jan van Rensburg asked if there were any mining activities taking place many years ago. There is a hole in the mountain which is unrehabilitated but is not such an eyesore. He would just like to know why those mining activities were ceased. He had a neighbour, by the name of Mr van der Nest, who wrote letters complaining of houses cracking due to blasting. There is no one who can verify why mining activities ceased.
- Mr Coates said to his knowledge the mining operations were closed down in the 1980's. It is not known why the operations ceased and was owned by a larger quarrying company. As far as rehabilitation is concerned, there was previously no specific legislation requirement in terms of which the mines could be held accountable for. Currently there are laws in place, and since the 2000's, the mining houses have been obligated to put up a rehabilitation fund (which they do not have access to), by means of a guarantee seeded to the authorities. If the company for some reason does not complete the rehabilitations, the authorities have the fund available to appoint a contractor to do the rehabilitation. Each company has to revise and update the amount. There are three reports: an Annual Rehabilitation Report, an Environmental Risk Report, and a Mine Closure and Final Rehabilitation Report. All three of the reports require a separate amount to be provided so that rehabilitation can be done concurrently during the year while mining takes place. Money should be in place for final rehabilitation when mining stops, as well as money for impacts which may occur after the mine has been closed. At the moment, legislation is very comprehensive, and since 2015, the latest monitoring provisions have been put into place which we will see the benefits of going forward. There are still issues regarding illegal mines and there are some illegal miners in the area who have not gone through the same process the Applicant has gone through. The challenge exists in respect of such illegal mining operations which are left unrehabilitated.
- Mr Pieter Kemp said that about three years ago a vegetable farm was started who drew approximately 100 000 litres of water per day. After that that his borehole dropped 5 meters. He also asked how many trucks per day will be traveling on van der Hof Road, taking into account Saturdays, which is the main route to the dam where there are many small businesses on the route.
- Mr Coates thanked him for the statement and stated the potential impact of 100 000 litres per day was something to be considered. It will be passed onto the specialist. He asked Mr Pieter Kemp if there were any specialist studies done or was it his own monitoring that was done which indicated the problem.
- Mr Dekker said in their area there were approximately 12 owners who had to replace their pumps due to the drop in the water table.
- Mr Coates asked what the depth of the water on his property is.
- Mr Kemp said it was approximately 47 metres.
- Unspecified speaker said there were three people who had to drill new boreholes just from the one incident.

- Mr Coates said the specialist will take into account the location of the activity in relation to the underground water source as a factor as well. Mr Coates asked if Mr Kemp is located close to the ridge.
- Mr Kemp said they are on the other side of van der Hof Street, which is much higher ground and a cause for concern.
- In reply to the second question of Mr Kemp, Mr Coates stated that according to the proposed production rate of 20 000 tons per month there are two alternatives where the trucks can either transport 20 000 tons per truck which will convert to about 50 trucks per day, or you could also use 40 ton trucks which will have that amount to 25 trucks per day. This is also if the operating hours will be considered from 6 to 6, resulting on an average of two trucks per hour.
- Mr Gernholtz replied that the traffic at six in the morning is already heavily congested towards town, and any additional traffic will adversely affect the traffic. There is a problem with the road surfaces as well. There are several school busses also using the roads. The route is mainly used by motorcycles, and he asked how will that would impact their safety. If safety is an issue on the roads, what impact will there be for small businesses if such users do not use the road anymore.
- Unspecified speaker said the people are saving money to use the alternative route instead of the toll road and this will further impact the traffic. Traffic will be slower and safety will be impacted.
- Mr Coates said it will be noted in the minutes and it will be included in the impact assessment phase.
- Mrs Ilva Vorster stated that it was said that people in her area do not abide by the law, and she felt that there is a mistrust in the venture. She said that they have the manpower to look into other situations in the area. She asked that the Applicant take responsibility for its actions and to not blame other people in the area. While she was reading Ms Burger's report, she stated that a biodiversity report was not done for fire. Therefore, she contested the studies and requested that the studies be redone, or to get someone else to do the studies. She insists that the studies cannot be trusted. She stated that there are issues with health and safety pertaining to air pollution, and that silica dust and crystals should be considered. The distance from the chicken farms in relation to the mining activities should also be considered. She noted that it is good to rehabilitate once mining has taken place and soil removed, however she asked how it would be rehabilitated. Her understanding that that you cannot replace what has been taken, no matter how much money you may have. This is what is going to happen in our environment and we cannot allow it to happen.
- Mr Coates responded that in terms of rehabilitation there is an assessment to be undertaken on its own. This looks at specific attributes of the area so that the assessment can be taken to the impact assessment phase, but what can be referred to in other case studies and what is important is that rehabilitation is to be undertaken to the original state. That is impossible. It is no longer an accepted objective on rehabilitation. Rehabilitation is now along the lines of making the area safe and bringing it back to a state which is suitable for a future agreed land use in agreement with the landowner and surrounding communities. It is very difficult to say what rehabilitation will look like. The attributes have not yet been defined to see what could be compatible with this environment. And it is not known what could be here when the mine closes. The annual revision of the three reports mentioned earlier of which one of them is the final closure and decommissioning of the mine. This revision will be done according to the status quo of what is happening in the surrounding area, the economy, the IDP, the environmental management framework of the area, etc. It all needs to be compatible. There have been some successes in the past and some examples of rehabilitation was shown to the meeting.
- Mr Tshepo Makhubela said most of the questions asked could not be answered and will be referred to specialist studies. As the phase has been completed, he asked if the team would come back to the community with answers from the studies.
- Mr Coates replied yes.
- Mr Tshepo Makhubela also referred to Mrs Liza Rossouw suggesting that someone come to do geohydrology testing, but he feels the project team is making it difficult to do that. He feels what the Applicant is going to do effects the community, and the community must be involved and must be allowed to visit the boreholes to ensure the holes will not collapse. There is a lot of information on the WhatsApp groups of what will happen and while the community is here, he feels none of those questions have been answered. The process is now going to phase 2, and he is concerned it will be found that the right has already been granted. With the Geohydrologist

available for the community on Monday, there must also be community involved before they can come through to do their report/testing. The Applicant should allow experts as quickly as possible because they are not doing the team a favour but they are doing a favour to the community. All you are saying is about what the team is going to do what is going to happen and how is going to happen. We have people who can verify the same information that was provided today. If we come back with disputes or if we stay silence the project will just continue. The facts could not be one sided.

- Mr Coates said he understands where the frustration comes from during the phase of the project where there are many unanswered questions. Unfortunately, that is the nature of the phase and that is why it was specified this is the scope. This is what we can discuss at this stage because there is not enough information to give the answers which you are looking for. In terms of the impacts, because we have not had the opportunity to do the impact assessment yet there is no way the application can be granted in the phase that it is now. We are still in the first month of the six month process so there is still a lot of investigations and assessment and a lot of consultation before getting to the stage of submitting the final documents to the authorities.
- Mr Tshepo Makhubela asked the project team to speak to the Applicant to allow the Geohydrologist to come as soon as possible on the community terms.
- Unspecified speaker asked why she can't be allowed on Monday.
- Mr Coates said if he thinks the Applicant would like to know what is going to be done on his property through these studies first, then he can bring that information to the community to verify it or if they require an independent study to be done.
- Unspecified speaker asked is there anything to hide.
- Mr Coates said there is nothing to hide.
- Mr Morné Erasmus said the community wants to get involved in what he plans to do and will then the community will have peace of mind in what he wants to do. The community is under the impression that the landowner just wants to fight the community and is trying to steamroll the issues and just go ahead with the proposed operations. He requests to have the community involved to and instil confidence in order to sit around the table to confirm this is the concerns of the community which can be addressed in order for all parties to be happy. At this stage it is only a one sided matter. The Applicant is a business, and the community are owners of holdings in the area. He suggested that the Applicant will be making money, and the community might lose money. He asked is the Applicant will purchase his holding if he does not have access to water. If does that is so, then he is happy for the Applicant to proceed. He was concerned that they are stuck in traffic taking children to school, and they have electricity and water problems. The Applicant will have all the advantages of making money. This is the problems the community faces.
- Mr Coates stated as was said in the beginning of the presentation this phase is for the Applicant to provide information and bring it to the community and there is a feeling of information just being brought from one side. The Applicant is proposing the activities to the community thereby the team is in process of receiving the community's information so that the combined information can be scrutinised together. At the current moment is has been the case of providing the community with a fair amount of information and the team is still in the process of gaining more information. It is still such early stages of the application, so the frustrations of the community have been noted but he assured the community that the team is there to work with the community to get all information available necessary.
- Mrs van der Schyff said the community is under no obligation to give any information and it is not the responsibility of the community to have brought this application to the team's knowledge in the first time. She asked who was informed of the placing of a hard copy at Jumaki, and asked who passes there as she does not drive that way very often. How do any of the people know that the hard copy was there and at what date, it was not even mentioned.
- Mr Coates confirmed that a hard copy was placed there on the 21st February and it was included in all the documents that were released.
- Mr D A Kimble said they have discussed the traffic, but asked the team if they will conduct an traffic impact study. Normally with township development it is prerequisite to conduct a traffic impact study. If Bundu will construct a four lane road the community will all vote for it.
- Mr Coates said with the initial information the team had identified that traffic will be an issue and through this process they are becoming aware of the issues. Therefore a traffic assessment will be done.

- Mr Ernst Louw asked if it has been observed that more than half the people have already left the meeting. He suggested that the project team was underestimating the community's intelligence. He stated understood what the Applicant intended on doing, and suggested the project team has provided half-baked information. There are so many studies he noted still outstanding, which cannot be provided currently, and which the project team still intend on doing after the application is closed, or after representation period has closed. He stated that the studies could refer to anything and the community would not have the option to respond thereto. He suggests the Applicant is under the impression that it is a walkover. He refers that the project team is making a mistake, and he can read between the lines that there is not one happy person in this hall. He states the community is against the team, and that not all the community are in agreement with this proposal. He asked the project team to go back to the drawing board and begin the process from the beginning.
- Mr Coates said he can't stress enough how this process works. There are two phases to the application. Currently, they are in the information gathering phase, and that is why the project team do not all the answers in place. The specialist studies which have been done so far were done in order to look for potential fatal flaws. The project team try to identify things upfront which will identify this is a definite no. It is for this reason that such specialist studies, based on the information which could be researched at the time. Obviously through those processes the project team are identifying other studies that need to be done in addition to the studies they have suggested will be done. The project team have identified the truck impact assessment as well as the air quality impact assessment.
- Mrs van der Schyff asked if the team was aware of another application by Bokokotla just over the border. The other application is to build approximately 4000 units, and there is a traffic impact study there as well. She noted the 50 trucks per day in the application area, in addition to the other application.
- Mr Coates stated that he was made aware by a community member of that development. If the traffic assessment study has been done the project team obviously will have to look at the other developments in the area to have a cumulative impact on the roads in the area. That will be part of the scope of the project for the specialists appointed for the impact assessment.
- Mr Victor Southall said this area is known as the Magaliesberg Biodiversity Conservation which is internationally known. He asked what impact the mining will have on the Biosphere. He noted that nobody had mentioned anything about the Magaliesberg Biosphere Conservation area in this meeting. He asked if there were any laws about mining within a conservation area.
- Mr Coates said there is the Magalies Biosphere Reserve and their website has been consulted. It appears from the official map of the biosphere boundary that the area in question falls outside the biosphere as well as the buffer zone of the biosphere. The project team will have to look at the Integrated Development Plan (IDP) and the Environmental Management Framework to see whether mining is potentially compatible with the other intended land uses of the area. In the IDP it does state that mining is compatible as long as it is strictly regulated. Mr Coates asked if the community was involved with the consultations on the development of the IDP. It is developed by the municipality and they assess the activities taking place to determine what is compatible and what is deemed acceptable.
- Unspecified speaker asked if this mining operation is acceptable.
- Mr Coates said it is identified as an activity that could take place in that area and in accordance with the IDP. Because it falls outside the Biosphere Reserve the project team needs to speak more with the conservancy in terms of what they have in place with regards to plans and objectives. He asked if the registration of the conservancy could be verified as the Biosphere Reserve website has no information on the conservancy. He had heard it was formed but was dormant for a while. There might be requirements to incorporate the conservancy into the biosphere.
- Mr William Steenkamp asked when the next meeting will be at Kameeldrif Primary School after this meeting, and if more information regarding the community concerns, especially the water, would be raised.
- Mr Coates said once all the information is available, and the water studies had been completed, then a further consultation would take place in the EIA phase. According to those legislative timeframes, it could probably be May or June. If the extension is granted for the current scoping phase, further engagement would be proposed. He noted there has been a proposal from some community members to have an online meeting as some people were wary of traveling at night. Mr Coates asked the meeting if that will be a good idea for the next meeting.

- Unspecified speaker said a hybrid meeting will work.
- Unspecified speaker said an online meeting as well as an in-person meeting such as this.
- Mr Coates said they might have to look at another venue as the school indicated that there is no stable internet connection. Mr Coates asked if there is a suggestion of a suitable venue.
- Unspecified speaker said it is the work of the project team and not the community.
- Mr Coates stated that this problem requires proactive engagement and participation from all parties involved. It seems there is a barrier and an issue with engagement and sharing of information and could prevent the process from being successful. He noted that all parties need to come to a resolution to try and work together to achieve the desired goals.
- Unspecified speaker said it is the Applicant who intends on mining why do the community need to incur costs.
- Mr Coates said it is in order to do the impact assessment and would not like to do the impact assessment without all the relevant information, and as the community have particular information the project team does not have access to.
- Mr Frans Dekker said that the community came out in support of this meeting to participate in the scope. He notes that a process needs to be followed from the beginning according to the rules and regulation, and this application is not going to be pushed through. He notes that there needs to be a rehabilitation plan in place, looking at the volume of rock that the Applicant wants to mine. He requested a presentation of the acceptable rehabilitation plan and asked that this be shared. He further stated that there were not enough dumping sites in Tshwane and requested that this application does not become rehabilitated as a dumping site. He noted the concern with the brick mines in the Pretoria area with all the smoke, dust and noise. The amount of trucks going on the roads, taking the Pretoria/Kennith road into consideration, and asked to extend the studies on that route to have a comprehensive view on this application. He said the community does understand the laws and regulations and have experience in these matters.
- Mr Coates said it is very much the intention and while the project team does not have the answers right now, they are taking the minutes so that they can consider it further and provide the community and Applicant with the feedback in the final scoping report.
- Mr Morné Erasmus asked when the municipality comes into play with the process and do they also need to give approval for mining.
- Mr Coates said the state departments, such as Water and Sanitation, GDARD the environmental department, the Land Claims Commissioner, and the various organisations of the municipality are all included in this process. The Ward Councillor is also informed, and spoken to directly. Cllr Dzumba will be facilitating further consultation with the municipality. She also requested online meetings as officials are more accessible online. The project team will be consulting with them further.
- Mr Morné Erasmus asked the project team to provide the information of the people to whom they are consulting, to which department and who are the persons with the email addresses. It is important to know who the project team will be working with.
- Mr Coates said to a certain extent they can provide information, and that there were laws to the protection to personal information. So the project team can mention the departments and the individuals name but cannot provide any other personal information.
- Mr Erasmus asked if official email addresses can be provided.
- Mr Coates replied that publicly available contact information such as that of public servants can be provided.
- Mrs van der Schyff asked if the official comments to be provided by 20 March so that the final scoping report could be prepared, will come back to the community. She stated she does not trust the team.
- Mr Coates said the process allows for the project team to finalise the scoping report and to provide a copy of the report as it was submitted to the authorities. If community members have any further objections, they should be submitted directly to the relevant authorities.
- Mr Tshepo Makhubela asked if the final scoping report would be submitted without consulting the community.
- Mr Coates said the final scoping report is completed with the feedback received now and then it is submitted. Once a party has had the opportunity to review the final scoping report and if they have any further objections, or do not agree with the manner in which your comments have been recorded or addressed in the report, then objections can be sent directly to the relevant authorities.
- Mrs Ilva Vorster noted they have asked for an extension.

- Mr Coates confirmed that is has been resolved. There will be an application for extension for a maximum of 50 days, but it is not guaranteed that it would be that amount of days. The community will be notified of the progress of the application.
- Mrs Ilva Vorster asked who the application will be forwarded to.
- Mr Coates said it goes directly to the Regional Manager of the Department of Mineral Resources and Energy.
- Mrs Ilva Voster asked if the information will be made available.
- Mr Coates said a copy of the request for the extension will be provided. A letter will be drafted for the request and proof of submission will be provided.
- Mrs Ilva Voster said she saw in the report that Attridgeville was used as a community that will benefit, yet Attridgeville is not that close. She asked why communities in the area were not considered.
- Mr Coates said for that section of the report the entire ward was looked at. The project team were using the information that was available from the Stats SA's website. The closest available statistics were for the Attridgeville area. Mr Coates asked if the community had any available information for this area that the project team could get access to.
- Mrs Ilva Voster said 3 km to Attridgeville was mentioned, and asked where this distance was obtained. She noted that when traveling there, one would need to go around the mountain, so it is further by road. She mentioned that there are many things in the preliminary report that she felt was not good enough and reiterated the community's mistrust in the entire process. She mentioned that Mr Coates admitted that he was not from this area and was pleased he was listening to the people from this area and requesting the extension of the application.
- Mr Coates said the project team value the feedback. The report was drafted from information which could be sourced at the time and noted it was absolutely the intent to amend and update it from the feedback during the process. Information like that can be looked into and if the project team can get any other relevant information from the local community, it could definitely be incorporated.
- Mrs Ilva Voster stressed the point of the air pollution and requested air pollution monitoring to take place. The roads studies will have to include air pollution.
- Mr Coates said the suggestion would be to do a baseline air pollution study and if the activities do go ahead there would be very stringent monitoring of air quality. There are legislative limits in terms of dust fallout and PM10 monitoring which is more relevant for health. Monitoring will be reported on a monthly basis to note if there is an exceedance of any of those limits. If there is an exceedance of 3 of those limits within the 12 month period, then it would be submitted to the air quality officer for the region where after a dust management plan would be developed for that exceedance.
- Mr Jannie Gous asked when the minutes of the previous meeting and tonight's meeting will be received.
- Mr Coates said it could be looked to be finalised by next week.
- Mr Gous asked if they are guaranteed of the 50 day extension.
- Mr Coates replied no.
- Mr Coates called for any final comments for the meeting. None were put forward so he thanked all for attending the meeting and closed the meeting at 21h28.

g) ATTENDANCE REGISTERS FOR PUBLIC MEETING HELD ON THE 14TH MARCH 2024



(011) 791 3389
info@umhlaba.co.za

P.O. Box 731504
Fairland, 2030



Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project: Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR
Date: 14th March 2024
Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift
Time: 19h00

No	Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
41	Pieter Kemp	377 Ruyk Rd	pieterkemp02@gmail.com	0825736263	
42	Anemone Mölsner	2 Deodare Road	syvone020@gmail.com	076 5187840	
43	Walter Mölsner	2 Deodare Road	walter.molsner@gmail.com	088 2576193	
44	Manica Bezuidenhout	82 Kenneth Street	manica.bezuidenhout@gmail.com	088 2591828	
45	Gusson Plabbe	Plot 12, 13, 14, 15 19 Uiteigend.	gussonmoores@yahoo.com	0829537134	
53	NEELUS van NIEKERK	Plot 189 KAMEELDRIEF	neelk@semca.co.za	0813137551	
51	Lourens van Niekerk	Plot 183 Kameeldrift	it@semca.co.za	0817034750	



(011) 791 3389
info@umhlaba.co.za

P.O. Box 731504
Fairland, 2030



Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project:

Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR

Date:

14th March 2024

Venue:

Laerskool Kameeldrift

Time:

19h00

No	Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
96	Stephan du Toit	Plot 172 Kameeldrift WES	solt@stepnpre.co.za	0722814030	
41	Adrian Fourie	25 Vuur Schalkvlei	iamlifechanges@gmail.com	0829598005	
47	CARL PIETERSE	Koninggroote 313	carl.pieterse@gmail.com	0711461657	
54	DA KIMBLE	SUNSETVIEW	kimble.denis@gmail.com	0824577778	
58	T. Kotze	22 Van Schaikweg Str. Kameeldrift	tangahwa21@gmail.com	0826591656	
55	T. MUKHARI	ZAMAZAMBA	Mukhari@gmail.com	079 774 2450	



(011) 791 3389
info@umhlaba.co.za

P.O. Box 731504
Fairland, 2030



Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project: Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR
Date: 14th March 2024
Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift
Time: 19h00

No	Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
90	Johan Vorster	Plot 128 Plot	thatcher BJA@yahoo.com	0647622137	[Signature]
93	Leanne du Toit	Plot 172 Kameeldrift	ldt@stepmore.co.za	0824918219	[Signature]
94	Morrie Erasmus	Plot 379 Kameeldrift Kantenle Str	morrieeras2@gmail.com	0791376038	[Signature]
44	Tobias Williamson	Jura Banting Farm Plot 118	johand@jura-banting.co.za	0825756481	[Signature]
47	Willie Mookes	Plot 12/13/15/19 Lijbeld	willie@transportqumail.com	0828912041	[Signature]
50	Ernest Louw	Plot 16 Kraamvlei	Info@kwaamandla.com	083390813	[Signature]
55	Elize Pieterse	A/14313 Kameeldrift S3J6	ejiptase@gmail.com	0765957298	[Signature]



(011) 791 3389
info@umhlaba.co.za

P.O. Box 731504
Fairland, 2030



Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project: Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR
Date: 14th March 2024
Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift
Time: 19h00

No	Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
87	Kentlego Ikadi meng		kei.bomertkadi.meng@gmail.com	0763587016	
89	Mayene Duplessis		mayeneduplessis@gmail.com	0745189673	
99	JAH VAN RENSBURG		vanj08370@gmail.com	0823262321	
100	KLEINTJE VAN RENSBURG		"	0824996597	
95	GSH Gentholtz		ngerntk01hz@yahoo.com	0732993695	
43	M. Gentholtz		ngerntk01hz@yahoo.com	0732993695	
98	M. LAUBERTON		marryna.kimble@gmail.com	0825573016	



(011) 791 3389
info@umhlaba.co.za

P.O. Box 731504
Fairland, 2030



Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project:
Date:
Venue:
Time:

Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR
14th March 2024
Laerskool Kameeldrift
19h00

No	Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
25	Francis Driess	Community	N/A	0715025966	[Signature]
74-76	Shepho Lekgoathi	Community		0648073578	[Signature]
27	Annie Rapotse	Community		0722139651	[Signature]
75	Johann Nel	Community	johnel56@gmail.com	0736297488	[Signature]
81	Ilva Vorster	Community	vorster.ilva75@gmail.com	0763748195	[Signature]
91	Liza Rossouw	"	liza.rossouw@gmail.com	0835591897	[Signature]
92	Kobus Barnard	"	elize297@gmail.com	0762569590	[Signature]

Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project: Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR
Date: 14th March 2024
Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift
Time: 19h00

No	Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
80	Victor Southall	Plot 127 Vd Hoff Rd	Southall Victor @ email. com	071 361 1001	
40	Jan Crafford.	Plot 74 Magaliesmoort	craffordjcd@gmail	082 3792248	
67	Piet Marais	Willem Weg 59 Magaliesmoort	—	076 894 957	
78	Wiel van Zyl	Plot 103 Vd Hoff Rd	boela101@gmail.com	083 780069	
24	Xander Schutte	Plot 361 Roux St	Xander@zonechemails.co.za	0820500755	
76	Loraine Depar-	Plot 128 Pretoria str.	Lorainedeparts5@gmail.com	046825332	
77	Robbin Bredenkamp	Uitzicht Rietvalei farm 79	robbin.bredenkamp@gmail.com	062 815 6401	



(011) 791 3389
info@umhilaba.co.za

P.O. Box 731504
Fairland, 2030



Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project: Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR
Date: 14th March 2024
Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift
Time: 19h00

No	Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
19	Cornel Crafford	Plot 74 Magdalenmoort	crafford.jee@gmail.com	082 782 94 79	
72	Mélie Crafford	Willow weg 59 Magdalenmoort		074 891 4951	
32	M.S. du Preez	20 COOTS ST KRAUSEVILLE	support@barcade101.co.za	082 708 3348	
38	J.P. van Vuren	Unit 21ght 314 Gel 58 + 86	j.p.jan 101 aqua.co.za	083 363 22	
79	Deyan Swanevool	Kameeldrift 128 Pretoria rd	deyay@understone.co.za	079 371 6006	
78	Phemmer Phemmer	Community	poppye123@understone.co.za	071 550 710	
61	Fredan Thabellat	Community	fredan@understone.co.za	082 382 5068	



(011) 791 3389
info@umhlaba.co.za

P.O. Box 731504
Fairland, 2030



Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project: Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR
Date: 14th March 2024
Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift
Time: 19h00

No	Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
56	Vermaat Referius	Plot 9 Krauseville	vkleppers@gmail.com	0784405293	
57	Iva Schyf	Plot 371	vdscyfi@gmail.com	0788 011300	
83	ESTELLE V.D. WESTHUIZEN	Plot 92	Estelle.vdwesthuizen7@gmail.com	0824449853	
102	RICHARD MORIFI	Plot 71	richardmorifi344@gmail.com	083 800 3948	



(011) 791 3389
info@umhlaba.co.za

P.O. Box 731504
Fairland, 2030



Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project:

Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR

Date:

14th March 2024

Venue:

Laerskool Kameeldrift

Time:

19h00

No	Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
82	Nimrod Barnard	RVT	nimrod.barnard789@gmail.com	0833267186	
73	Jannie Gous	POT	janniegous@yahoo.com	0836792539	
101	Danie van der Merwe	PVT	danie@fontierem.co.za	0826697732	
108	Peta S	PVT		0790959884	



(011) 791 3389
info@umhlaba.co.za

P.O. Box 731504
Fairland, 2030



Attendance Register - Public Meeting (Draft Scoping Report)

Project: Bundu Mining (Pty) Ltd – Rietvalei Quarry Mining Right Application GP 10124 MR
Date: 14th March 2024
Venue: Laerskool Kameeldrift
Time: 19h00

No	Name and Surname	Organisation / Property	Email	Tel / Cell	Signature
59	William Steenkamp	KLS Kitsgras	WilliamSteenkamp@gmail.com	072 211 7046	
84	Christo Botha	Uitzicht 72	christobotha1971@gmail.com	0711593328	
78	Frans Deller	Inwoners Kameeldrift	FransD@dshwane.gov.za	0828054739	
28	Samuel mogale	lydisainvestments	lydisainvestments@gmail.com	0762037058	
104	Tshepo MATHUABELA	18 Van Schaalkwyk Road	tshepo.mathubela@yahoo.com	0658081933	

h) COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES

The comments received from I&AP's during the consultation process are provided hereafter in alphabetical order of Surname as per the I&AP Register.

i) REGISTER OF INTERESTED AND AFFECTED PARTIES

State Departments					
Department	Designation & Contact Person	Postal	Phone	Email	
Department of Rural Development and Land Reform <i>Land Claims Commissioners</i>	Chief Director: Land Restitution Support (Gauteng): Cindy Benyane Regional Land Claims Commissioner: Harry Maphutha	Private Bag X03 Arcadia, Pretoria 0001	0123129446	Lebjane.Maphutha@dairrd.gov.za	
Department of Water and Sanitation <i>Regional Office: Gauteng</i>	Chief Director:Sibusiso Mthembu Regional Manager: Wendy Ralekoa	Private Bag X995 Pretoria 0001	0123921301	Mthembusi@dws.gov.za MjonaT@dws.gov.za RalekoaW@dws.gov.za	
Department of Agriculture and Rural Development <i>Gauteng</i>	Mncedisi Madolo (Agriculture) Kgari Manotwane (Rural Development) Loyiso Mkwana (Sustainable Resource Management) Caroline Sithi (Environmental Control Officer)	PO Box 8769 Johannesburg 2000		Mncedisi.Madolo@gauteng.gov.za Kgari.Manotwane@gauteng.gov.za Loyiso.Mkwana@gauteng.gov.za Caroline.Sithi@gauteng.gov.za	
Provincial Heritage Resources Agency <i>Gauteng</i>	Tebogo.Molokomme	PO Box 87552 Houghton 2041		Tebogo.Molokomme@gauteng.gov.za	
City of Tshwane. <i>Clr Ward 55</i>	Clr Kwena Yvonne Dzumba		0713417068	yvonne.kwena@gmail.com	
City of Tshwane <i>Divisional Head: Environmental Management</i> Environmental Management - Region 3	Group Head: Agriculture and Environmental Management: Mthobeli Kolisa	PO Box 403 Pretoria 0001	012 358 4656	queenmt@tshwane.gov.za	
Direct Landowner / Lawful Occupier					
Registered Landowner	Representative	Postal	Phone	Email	
Sebastião Farms (Pty) Ltd Portions 18 and 94 and the remaining extent of Portions 91 and 95 of the farm Uitzicht alias Rietvalei 314 JR	Gabriel Gomes-Sebastiao		0723559111	gabriel@gomes.co.za	
Directly Adjacent Landowner / Lawful Occupiers					
Registered Landowner	Representative	Postal	Phone	Email	

Wilhelmina S Bredenkamp Portion 26 of the farm Uitzicht alias Rietvalei 314 JR	Robbin Bredenkamp		0628156401	robbinbrenkamp@gmail.com
Sebastião Farms (Pty) Ltd Portion 100 of the farm Uitzicht alias Rietvalei 314 JR	Gabriel Gomes-Sebastiao		0723559111	gabriel@gomes.co.za
Jura Poultry Farm (Pty) Ltd Portion 118 of the farm Uitzicht alias Rietvalei 314 JR	Rudie Briel Pierre Briel		0125480317 0823048366 0825756481	pbriel@brielinc.com rudie@kuipersgroup.co.za
Uitzicht Trust Portion 119 of the farm Uitzicht alias Rietvalei 314 JR	Willem Mocke Susan Mocke		0828692041 0829537134 0726723454 0826600369	uitzicht.sand@gmail.com uitzicht.transport@gmail.com susanmocke@yahoo.com
Armaments Corp of South Africa (Pty) Ltd Portion 171 of the farm Elandsfontein 352 JR	Piet van der Schyff Thembu Sepeng		0828005932 0123712000	piet@gerotek.co.za ThembuS1@gerotek.co.za
Surrounding Landowners / Occupiers / Other I&AP				
Surname	First Name / Initial	Postal	Phone	Email
Badenhorst	Beatrix		0799286270	besembadenhorst@gmail.com
Barnard	David		0834411789	davidbarnard@mweb.co.za
Barnard	Elize		0721247067	elize297@gmail.com
Barnard	JJ		0762569590	elize297@gmail.com
Barnard	Kobus		0721247067	elize297@gmail.com
Barnard	Marnus		0745807198	marnus.barnard@gmail.com
Becker	Mercia		0799901145	beckermecia@gmail.com
Beukes	Natasha		0605062311	nbeukes021@gmail.com
Bezuidenhout	Jorrie		0721959882	Jorriebez66@gmail.com
Botes	Telana		0823351486	tkbotes@gmail.com
Botha	Marlet		0741881345	marlebotha73@gmail.com
Bothma	Willanie		0723387055	willaniebothma@gmail.com
Bredenkamp	Christo		0716819445	christoleani@gmail.com

Bredenkamp	Martina Jacoba			0823595420	mjbredenkamp@gmail.com
Bredenkamp	Pieter			0829322591	pieter.bredenkamp@sar.biz
Bredenkamp	Rika				bredenkamprika@gmail.com
Brill	Jean-Pierre				jp@skilldjin.com
Burger	Albert			0824168445	tamboitebonsmara@gmail.com
Burger	Cecile			0827597213	cecileburger04@gmail.com
Burger	Claudia			0765527284	clauds.burger3@gmail.com
Burger	Relief			0799209866	retiefbur@gmail.com
Cathy	Vuma			0670431587	cathyvuma@gmail.com
Chatburn	Belinda			0836261166	belindachatburn@gmail.com
Chatburn	Rika			0825632355	belinda.chatburn@sabs.co.za
Claassens	Tanya			0681730947	chatburnrika@gmail.com
Collins	Jacques			0723946821	tanyaclaassens5@gmail.com
Conservancy	Magalies Bewarea			0826591656	jacquescollins@vodamail.co.za
Cooper	Belinda			0832366978	magaliesbewarea@gmail.com
Crafford	Cornel			0827829479	hello@magaliesbergbiosphere.org.za
Damaske	Benita			0835662320	Craffordjec@gmail.com
Damaske	Michael			0833933511	bdamaske1@hotmail.com
Davey	Eddie			0834425933	mdamaske@hotmail.com
Davey	Ronel			0827812582	eddie.davey1@gmail.com
Davis	Annemarie			0828719767	roneldavey@gmail.com
Davis	Willem			0814340017	annemarietdavis77@gmail.com
de Klerk	Ajee			0828909857	willem@farmacology.co.za
de Lange	Chantal			0767086906	ajeedeklerk@yahoo.com
de Lange	Colette			0716077337	delangechantal29@gmail.com
de Lange	Colette			0716077337	colettedelange3@gmail.com
de Lange	Deon			0828976849	colette@skillgap.co.za
de Winnaar	Bertus			0827829049	deon@skillgap.co.za
de Witt	Louisa			0723890486	bertusdewinnaar12@gmail.com
					louisadewitt51@gmail.com

Dekker	Frans			0828054739	FransD@tshwane.gov.za
Delpont	Loraine			0646825332	Lorainedelpont55@gmail.com
Delpont	Waldo			0825677220	waldodelport@gmail.com
dos Santos	Dino			0733784869	papadino.1@gmail.com
Douglas	Danie			0832588807	sjj.douglas@gmail.com
Douglas	Susan			0833055228	sjj.douglas@gmail.com
Drury	Earle Hilton			0825841612	rotsvas@tenet.co.za
Du Plessis	Hendri			0715313585	hendridupi+bundumining@gmail.com
Du Plessis	Japie J			0836410815	francisca.duplessis@quintiles.com
Du Plessis	JF			0723802357	francisca.duplessis@iqvia.com
Du Plessis	Maylene			0828004949	cobusdp2011@gmail.com
du Preez	Ansi			0745789673	mayleneduplessis@gmail.com
du Preez	Bessie			0824991644	asdup462@gmail.com
Du Preez	Marinus				bessieje@gmail.com
du Preez	Michelle				marinusdp@gmail.com
du Preez	Mynhardt Jacobus			0925637433	dupreezmichelle@yahoo.co.uk
Du Toit	JS			082 682 3040 082 708 3348	support@barcode101.co.za lettie@saboer.co.za
du Toit	Leonore			0722814030	sdt@stepnore.co.za
Ellis	Anne-Marie			0824918219	ldt@stepnore.co.za
Els	Gustav			0681525239	annemariells5@gmail.com
Everitt	Elize			0813548033	gustavcels89@gmail.com
Fayad	Mickey			0762569590	elize297@gmail.com
Ferreira	Louis			0609442515	robert1982@gmail.com
Fletcher	Mari			0727671128	louisjfr@gmail.com
Fouche	Andre			0829233736	fletcher.mari@gmail.com
Fourie	Adriaan			0721599252	
Fourie	Henna			0829598005	chinanfei1@gmail.com
Geldenhuys	Braam			0713450478	henna.fourie1234@gmail.com
				0662659059	braam.desert9@gmail.com

Gerntholtz	Graham			0732993695	mgerntholtz@yahoo.com
Gorlt	Amanda			0823351487	gorlt.amanda1@gmail.com
Gorlt	Basil			0825339674	gorltbt@gmail.com
Gous	Heleen			0828810749	heleen.gous@gmail.com
Gous	Jannie			0836792939 0736792939	janniegous@yahoo.com janniegous@gmail.com
Grobbelaar	Marilize			0823167107	marilize@grovert.co.za
Grobbelaar	Marilize			0823167107	admin@grovert.co.za
Henning	Emmie			0835757393	emmiehenning@gmail.com
Hodge	Ann			0836434658	anhodge8@gmail.com
Hoffmann	Petrus Johannes			0825505170	Pieterhoffmann6@gmail.com
Hugo	Rainier			0768668046	colghugo01@gmail.com
Jacobs	Helena			0713826499	jacobselena9@gmail.com
Jansen	BJ			0823390403	johan-jansen@vodamail.co.za
Jordaan	Hettie			0838903691	
Joubert	Freek			0711507582	
Joubert	Mari			0820995400	fromtodaym@gmail.com
Kasama	Kasama			0787180609	Hamuyelakasama83@gmail.com
Kemp	Pieter			0825736263	pieterkemp02@gmail.com
Kotze	Tanya			0826591656	tanyakotze1@gmail.com
Kraamwinkel	joe			0834072827	joedia4@yahoo.com
Krag	Karen			0832356641	wesmoot.magalies@gmail.com
Kruger	Joanne			0723483137	joannekruger53@gmail.com
Kruger	Shaun			0845063073	shaunkruger1@yahoo.com
Kruger Muller	Marika			0825750701	marika.krugermuller@gmail.com
Laynes	Ernest			0833990813	info@kwamandla.com
Le Roux	Chappy			0828891115	management@styledecor.co.za chappy@styledecor.co.za sales@styledecor.co.za
le Roux	Pieter			0636413506	piir71@gmail.com
Lekalakala	Kganhelo			0744111620	kganhelo.lekalakala@gmail.com

Letseli	Dudzile			0609720682		dudzile.letseli@gmail.com
Loots	Yolandy			0622034172		yolandylhoots@gmail.com
Louw	Deon			0722051393		dhlouw@gmail.com
Maema	Samuel			0658372121		samuelmaema8@gmail.com
Maisha	Maisha			06058666878		mcseberane@gmail.com
Makhubela	Tshepo			0658081433		tshepomakhubela@yahoo.com
Makoma	Dzumba			0633873317		dzumbalaudator@gmail.com
Manamela	Rachael			0724556652		rachaelmanamela@gmail.com
Manqele	Simphiwe			0678597364		Manqelesim76@gmail.com
Mantladi	Nkadimeng			0720469881		nkadimengmantladi@gmail.com
Maradzano	Isabellah			0744394524		maradzanoisabellah@gmail.com
Meyer	Berto			0726794237		berto.meyer@sibanyestillwater.com
Mocke	Willie & Susan			0828692041 082 953 7134 072 672 3454 082 660 0369		uitzicht.sand@gmail.com uitzicht.transpori@gmail.com susanmocke@yahoo.com
Morolo	Dibing			0671839568		dibingmoroloib6@gmail.com
Morsner	Anna Maria			0765187890		annemarie.morsner6@gmail.com
Mothibe	Timothy Tsietsi			0676724978		Mothibett389@gmail.com
Ndhlovu	Zwelethu			0794644023		zweman0611@gmail.com
Nel	Amanda			0834078354		amandanel.an@gmail.com
Nel	Johan			0736297488		jbnel56@gmail.com
Netshipise	Phophi			0829319261		netshipisephophi@gmail.com
Neveling	Johannes Jacobus			0658568266		nevelinganna4@gmail.com
Nkadimeng	Ratinte			0785371099		nkadimeng.ratinte@gmail.com
Pattison	Liz			0826060039		liz@carrpattison.co.za
Phatudi	Dikeledi			0834327444		nandopa2d@gmail.com
Pieterse	Carl			0711461657		carl.pieterse@gmail.com
Pieterse Bredenkamp	Elize			0765957298		eipieterse@gmail.com
Pieterse	Jacques			0834422581		esherkonstruksie@gmail.com

Pistorius	Petro			0825307540	Petropistorius@yahoo.com
Prinsloo	Elmarie			0834479828	eimarieprinsloo@outlook.com
Reyneke	Dominec			0829524377	dr@amtsa.co.za
Richmore	Dondofema			0780387828	radondofema@gmail.com
Rossouw	Liza			0835591897	liza.rossouw007@gmail.com
Rossouw	Sonya			0832993174	sonya@parossouw.co.za
Rossouw	Sonja			0832993174	srossouw88@gmail.com
Sakoane	Gerald			0766163294	gpsakoane@gmail.com
Scheepers	Petro			0829794303	schogentskap@gmail.com
Scheepers	Jaco			0723949800	jacoscheepers73@gmail.com
Scholtz	Yvette			0681869319	yvettescholtz@gmail.com
Schombee	Jan			0825695114	
Sciocatti	Michael			0832654456	michael.sciocatti@gmail.com
Smidt	Willem			0837883004	smidtwagmail.com
Smit	Charne			0798847723	charnem467@gmail.com
Smit	Cherise			0716790427	cherise1001@gmail.com
Smit	Jacoba			0828879742	SmitJJ@tut.ac.za
Smit	Nicolaas Wilhelmus			0829031417	niclaas.smit@gmail.com
Smit	Pieter			0823804553	pieterwsmit2@gmail.com
Smit	Pieter			0828879743	piet.smit246@gmail.com
Southall	Victor			0713611001	southallvictor@gmail.com
Steenkamp	William			0722117046	williamsteenkamp0@gmail.com
Steyn	Henrietta			0832688637	exclusivepots@gmail.com
Stoltz	Wessel			0676914266	wstoltz07@gmail.com
Stoltz	Nadine			0679098095	nadinedp91@gmail.com
Storm	Monica			0798816497	monicastorm61@gmail.com
Storm	Sandra			0722790211	sandra.storm84@gmail.com
Straub	Samantha			0711963361	straubsam29@gmail.com
Swanepoel	Dejay			0793716066	dejay@milestone.co.za
Thabang	Thabang			0723723838	tmavunga1975@gmail.com

Tredoux	Natasha				0728793836	natashatredoux@gmail.com
Turner	Victor					victorlewisturner@yahoo.com
van den Heever	Mauritz				0726350465	mau.vandenheever@gmail.com
van der Merwe	Carel				0123181075	civdm@pmp.co.za
van der Merwe	CL				0630213418	info@pmp.co.za
van der Merwe	Laura				0834699793	clinstromvorster@gmail.com
van der Merwe	Molira				0833466005	lj.edii@gmail.com
van Der Merwe	Ralien				0823320333	vandermewemo@tut.ac.za
van der Merwe	Talitha				0614480921	bilancio@gmail.com
van der Merwe	Thomas				0815760237	shadowfax563.tvdm@gmail.com
van der Schyf	Ida				0788011300	thomas.hyperwork@gmail.com
van der Vyver	Douw				0832728216	vdscyfi@gmail.com
van der Walt	Etienne				0839293633	douwvandervyver56@gmail.com
van der Walt	Nicolien				0788015568	juboy32@gmail.com
van der Walt	Nicolien				0788015568	nikivande@gmail.com
van der Westhuizen	Anneke				0840478440	nikivande@gmail.com
van der Westhuizen	Estelle				0824498534	annekenvdw7299@gmail.com
van Graan	Annie				0829264911	estellevdwe@fidelity-services.com
van Niekerk	Danie				0845281974	estellevdwesthuizen7@gmail.com
van Niekerk	Marchandt				0828551099	annievangraan@gmail.com
Van Niekerk	Marina				0728132887	danievn2004@gmail.com
van Rensburg	Willie				0824697766	vanniekerk.marchandt@gmail.com
van Rensen	Kevin				0823393866	hoof@lskd.co.za
van Staden	Anton				0799240319	willievr@gmail.com
van Staden	Michelle				0812500624	kvr@live.co.za
van Wyk	Armin				0716766438	vanstadenanton.avs@gmail.com
Van Wyk	Tinie				0765224727	michelle.vanstaden66@gmail.com
van Zyl	Elize				0768756634	arminvanwyk9@gmail.com
						tinix86@hotmail.co.za
						automactrading@hotmail.com
						elize@beanspoiled.co.za

Van Zyl	GF			083 775 0069	boela101@gmail.com
van Zyl	Jaco			0837584240	jaco.vanzyl64@gmail.com
van Zyl	Kobus			0824402183	kobus@pureplaas.co.za
Van Zyl	Quintin			0721014703	Quintin@nec.xon.co.za
van Zyl	Elize			0837130371	ecvanzyl1947@gmail.com
vd Westhuizen	B			0827751095	
Venter	Dr. Marianne			0833106497	drmarianneventer@gmail.com
Venter	Magteld			0780718884	magteld.venter@gmail.com
Verwey	J			0828806271	VerweyJ@tut.ac.za
Verwey	Tiffany			0764302775	verweytiffany@gmail.com
Viljoen	FJ			0614917091	fransviljoen22@gmail.com
Viljoen	JS			0824731552	viljoenjs@gmail.com
Viljoen	Myra			0829044957	myraviljoen@gmail.com
Viljoen	PM			0828785206	viljoenjs@gmail.com
Visagie	Marinda			0606734502	marindavisagie09@gmail.com
Vorster	Barend			0763748195	vorster.ilva75@gmail.com
Vorster	Ilva			0763748195	vorster.ilva75@gmail.com
Vorster	Johan			0647622437	vorsterbja@yahoo.com
Wagner	Isabella			0835558040	afraiaflora@outlook.com
Watkins	Gary			0824167712	gary@workinfo.com
WESSA	Vriende van Wesmoot-Magalies			0826591656	wesmoot.magalies@gmail.com
Wirth	Yolandi			0822946044	yolandi.wirth@gmail.com
Worst	Esta			0626937261	esta.tutorouter@gmail.com
Wright	Sandra			0645498548	allessandra.wright@gmail.com
Young	Westley			0828790553	westleyyoung1@gmail.com
Zulu	Bheki			06583338512	bhekizul@gmail.com